NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FEB 18 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JOSE SIERRA, an individual, on behalf of himself, and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

OAKLEY SALES CORPORATION,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 13-55891

D.C. No. 8:13-cv-00319-AG-JPR

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Andrew J. Guilford, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted June 3, 2015 Pasadena, California

Before: M. SMITH and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges and LEFKOW,** Senior District Judge.

Jose Sierra appeals the district court's Order dismissing his California

Private Attorney General Act (PAGA) claim and granting a Motion to Compel

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The Honorable Joan Lefkow, Senior District Judge for the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, sitting by designation.

Case: 13-55891, 02/18/2016, ID: 9869005, DktEntry: 77-1, Page 2 of 3

Arbitration. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We reverse and remand to the district court for further proceedings.

The central issue in this appeal turns on whether the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA) preempts the California rule that "an employee's right to bring a PAGA action is unwaivable." *Iskanian v. CLS Transp. L.A., LLC*, 327 P.3d 129, 148 (Cal. 2014). Our recent decision in *Sakkab v. Luxottica Retail North America, Inc.* held that "the FAA does not preempt the *Iskanian* rule." 803 F.3d 425, 429 (9th Cir. 2015). Therefore, the *Iskanian* rule applies to the arbitration agreement between Sierra and Oakley Sales Corporation and Sierra's waiver of his right to bring a representative PAGA action is unenforceable.

Oakley's contention that the FAA requires enforcement of Sierra's waiver in the arbitration agreement is foreclosed in light of our decision in *Sakkab*.

Although courts must generally enforce arbitration agreements according to their terms, *Volt Info. Scis., Inc. v. Bd. of Trs. of Leland Stanford Junior Univ.*, 489 U.S. 468, 478 (1989), the FAA permits arbitration agreements to be declared unenforceable "upon such grounds as exist at law or in equity for the revocation of any contract," 9 U.S.C. § 2. "[T]he *Iskanian* rule is a 'generally applicable' contract defense that may be preserved by § 2's saving clause, provided it does not conflict with the FAA's purposes." *Sakkab*, 803 F.3d at 433. The *Iskanian* rule "does not conflict" with the objectives of the FAA and is not preempted. *Id*.

An arbitration agreement is not per se unconscionable, and, in this arbitration agreement, the offending clause waiving representative claims appears to be severable from the rest of the agreement. The agreement provides:

If any provision . . . of the Dispute Resolution Agreement is held illegal, invalid or unenforceable in any respect, Oakley and the Employee agree that such provision . . . shall be deemed to be modified only as necessary to permit its enforcement to the maximum extent permitted by applicable law (except that Oakley does not agree under any circumstances to a modification of the Dispute Resolution Agreement to permit a class or collective action to be adjudicated in the arbitration forum in any case brought as a class or collective action.) In this event, the remainder of the Dispute Resolution Agreement shall not be affected thereby.

Accordingly, we reverse the district court's order dismissing Sierra's First

Amended Complaint and remand to the district court to decide where Sierra's representative PAGA claims should be resolved. See id. at 440 (remanding to the district court to determine whether representative PAGA claims should be arbitrated or litigated).

REVERSED and REMANDED.

¹ We note that the arbitration agreement states that "Oakley expressly does not agree to arbitrate any claim on a class or collective basis." Nonetheless, it is not forbidden from doing so.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Office of the Clerk

95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94103

Information Regarding Judgment and Post-Judgment Proceedings

Judgment

• This Court has filed and entered the attached judgment in your case. Fed. R. App. P. 36. Please note the filed date on the attached decision because all of the dates described below run from that date, not from the date you receive this notice.

Mandate (Fed. R. App. P. 41; 9th Cir. R. 41-1 & -2)

• The mandate will issue 7 days after the expiration of the time for filing a petition for rehearing or 7 days from the denial of a petition for rehearing, unless the Court directs otherwise. To file a motion to stay the mandate, file it electronically via the appellate ECF system or, if you are a pro se litigant or an attorney with an exemption from using appellate ECF, file one original motion on paper.

Petition for Panel Rehearing (Fed. R. App. P. 40; 9th Cir. R. 40-1) Petition for Rehearing En Banc (Fed. R. App. P. 35; 9th Cir. R. 35-1 to -3)

(1) A. Purpose (Panel Rehearing):

- A party should seek panel rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:
 - ► A material point of fact or law was overlooked in the decision;
 - A change in the law occurred after the case was submitted which appears to have been overlooked by the panel; or
 - An apparent conflict with another decision of the Court was not addressed in the opinion.
- Do not file a petition for panel rehearing merely to reargue the case.

B. Purpose (Rehearing En Banc)

• A party should seek en banc rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:

- ► Consideration by the full Court is necessary to secure or maintain uniformity of the Court's decisions; or
- ► The proceeding involves a question of exceptional importance; or
- The opinion directly conflicts with an existing opinion by another court of appeals or the Supreme Court and substantially affects a rule of national application in which there is an overriding need for national uniformity.

(2) Deadlines for Filing:

- A petition for rehearing may be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party in a civil case, the time for filing a petition for rehearing is 45 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the mandate has issued, the petition for rehearing should be accompanied by a motion to recall the mandate.
- *See* Advisory Note to 9th Cir. R. 40-1 (petitions must be received on the due date).
- An order to publish a previously unpublished memorandum disposition extends the time to file a petition for rehearing to 14 days after the date of the order of publication or, in all civil cases in which the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party, 45 days after the date of the order of publication. 9th Cir. R. 40-2.

(3) Statement of Counsel

• A petition should contain an introduction stating that, in counsel's judgment, one or more of the situations described in the "purpose" section above exist. The points to be raised must be stated clearly.

(4) Form & Number of Copies (9th Cir. R. 40-1; Fed. R. App. P. 32(c)(2))

- The petition shall not exceed 15 pages unless it complies with the alternative length limitations of 4,200 words or 390 lines of text.
- The petition must be accompanied by a copy of the panel's decision being challenged.
- An answer, when ordered by the Court, shall comply with the same length limitations as the petition.
- If a pro se litigant elects to file a form brief pursuant to Circuit Rule 28-1, a petition for panel rehearing or for rehearing en banc need not comply with Fed. R. App. P. 32.

Case: 13-55891, 02/18/2016, ID: 9869005, DktEntry: 77-2, Page 3 of 5

- The petition or answer must be accompanied by a Certificate of Compliance found at Form 11, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.
- You may file a petition electronically via the appellate ECF system. No paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise. If you are a pro se litigant or an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, file one original petition on paper. No additional paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise.

Bill of Costs (Fed. R. App. P. 39, 9th Cir. R. 39-1)

- The Bill of Costs must be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment.
- See Form 10 for additional information, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.

Attorneys Fees

- Ninth Circuit Rule 39-1 describes the content and due dates for attorneys fees applications.
- All relevant forms are available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms* or by telephoning (415) 355-7806.

Petition for a Writ of Certiorari

 Please refer to the Rules of the United States Supreme Court at www.supremecourt.gov

Counsel Listing in Published Opinions

- Please check counsel listing on the attached decision.
- If there are any errors in a published <u>opinion</u>, please send a letter **in writing** within 10 days to:
 - ► Thomson Reuters; 610 Opperman Drive; PO Box 64526; St. Paul, MN 55164-0526 (Attn: Jean Green, Senior Publications Coordinator);
 - ▶ and electronically file a copy of the letter via the appellate ECF system by using "File Correspondence to Court," or if you are an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, mail the Court one copy of the letter.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

BILL OF COSTS

This form is available as a fillable version at: http://cdn.ca9.uscourts.gov/datastore/uploads/forms/Form%2010%20-%20Bill%20of%20Costs.pdf.

| Note: If you wish to file a bill of costs, it MUST be submitted on this form and filed, with the clerk, with proof of service, within 14 days of the date of entry of judgment, and in accordance with 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. A late bill of costs must be accompanied by a motion showing good cause. Please refer to FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, and 9th Circuit Rule 39-1 when preparing your bill of costs. V. 9th Cir. No. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|----------------|-------------------|---------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost Taxable under FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, 9th Cir. R. 39-1 | REQUESTED (Each Column Must Be Completed) | | | | ALLOWED (To Be Completed by the Clerk) | | | | |
| | No. of Docs. | Pages per Doc. | Cost per Page* | TOTAL COST | No. of Docs. | Pages per Doc. | Cost per Page* | TOTAL COST | |
| Excerpt of Record | | | \$ | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | |
| Opening Brief | | | \$ | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | |
| Answering Brief | | | \$ | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | |
| Reply Brief | | | \$ | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | |
| Other** | | | \$ | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | |

TOTAL: |\$|

Attorneys' fees cannot be requested on this form.

TOTAL: \$

^{*} Costs per page: May not exceed .10 or actual cost, whichever is less. 9th Circuit Rule 39-1.

^{**} Other: Any other requests must be accompanied by a statement explaining why the item(s) should be taxed pursuant to 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. Additional items without such supporting statements will not be considered.

Case: 13-55891, 02/18/2016, ID: 9869005, DktEntry: 77-2, Page 5 of 5 Form 10. Bill of Costs - Continued

| I, | , swear under penalty of perjury that the services for which costs are taxed |
|---|--|
| were actually and necessarily performed, | and that the requested costs were actually expended as listed. |
| Signature | |
| ("s/" plus attorney's name if submitted ele | ectronically) |
| Date | |
| Name of Counsel: | |
| Attorney for: | |
| | |
| (To Be Completed by the Clerk) | |
| Date | Costs are taxed in the amount of \$ |
| | Clerk of Court |
| | By: Deputy Clerk |